1	BRIAN M. BOYNTON Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General	Samara Spence* (DC Bar No. 1031191) Jeffrey B. Dubner* (DC Bar No. 1013399)
2	Frincipal Deputy Assistant Attorney General	Sean A. Lev* (DC Bar. No. 449936)
3	ERIC BECKENHAUER	Democracy Forward Foundation
4	Assistant Branch Director Civil Division	P.O. Box 34553 Washington, DC 20043
4	Civil Bivision	sspence@democracyforward.org
5	STEVEN A. MYERS (NY Bar # 4823043)	jdubner@democracyforward.org
6	Senior Trial Counsel United States Department of Justice	slev@democracyforward.org (202) 701-1785
7	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L St. NW	(202) 448-9090
8	Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 305-8648	Counsel for Plaintiffs
9	Fax: (202) 616-8470	Additional counsel listed on signature block
10	E-mail: steven.a.myers@usdoj.gov	* Admitted pro hac vice
11	Attorneys for Defendants	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,) Case No. 5:21-cv-01655-BLF
16		
17	Plaintiffs,) JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED) REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING STAY
	v.	
18	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND)
19	HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,)
20	Defendants.	()
21) -
22	Pursuant to the Court's Order of February 1, 2022, see ECF No. 41, the parties respectfully submit	
23		
24		
25	order continuing the stay of this action through June 7, 2022.	
	1. This is an Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") case in which Plaintiffs challenge a final	
26	rule promulgated by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") entitled Securing	
27	Updated and Necessary Statutory Evaluations T	imely, 86 Fed. Reg. 5694 (Jan. 19, 2021) (the "SUNSET
28		
	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED REQUES CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF	ST FOR ORDER CONTINUING STAY

Rule"). The SUNSET Rule provides, in essential part, that nearly all regulations issued by HHS in Titles 21, 42, and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations shall expire at the end of (1) five calendar years after the year that the SUNSET Rule first becomes effective, (2) ten calendar years after the year of the regulation's promulgation, or (3) ten calendar years after the last year in which HHS assessed and, if required, reviewed the regulation, whichever is latest.

- 2. Plaintiffs filed their complaint challenging the SUNSET Rule on March 9, 2021. See ECF No. 1. Plaintiffs alleged that the SUNSET Rule is *ultra vires*, see id. ¶¶ 123-30; arbitrary and capricious, see id. ¶¶ 131-33; in violation of the APA's notice-and-comment requirements, see id. ¶¶ 134-39; and in violation of HHS's Tribal Consultation Policy, see id. ¶¶ 140-44. Plaintiffs further alleged that the SUNSET Rule threatens imminent and irreparable harm to them and the general public, including by creating regulatory confusion and uncertainty that will impede their ongoing operations, budgeting, and planning activities. See, e.g., id. ¶¶ 100-02; see generally id. ¶¶ 95-122.
- 3. As promulgated, the SUNSET Rule was scheduled to become effective on March 22, 2021. See 86 Fed. Reg. at 5694. Shortly before the SUNSET Rule became effective, HHS postponed its effective date for one year, to March 22, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 705. See 86 Fed. Reg. 15,404 (2021). While HHS did not concede liability, HHS stated that it "believes that the Court could find merit in some of Plaintiffs' claims." Id. at 15,405. In particular, HHS stated that, in contrast to its prior findings, it "now believes it is likely some regulations would expire without any additional process" and that this outcome raises legal questions about whether "regulations promulgated through notice and comment rulemaking can be terminated through an umbrella rule without individual consideration of the expiring regulations, including any reliance interests." Id. at 15,406. HHS further stated that it "may have significantly underestimated the burden" of the rule and that the rule's magnitude and timing "may have impeded the full and deliberate consideration of all the potential issues related to the SUNSET rule." Id.
- 4. On October 28, 2021, HHS issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing to withdraw or repeal the SUNSET Rule. *See* Dep't of Health & Human Servs., Securing Updated and Necessary Statutory Evaluations Timely; Proposal to Withdraw or Repeal, 86 Fed. Reg. 59,906 (Oct. 29, 2021). HHS stated that it "reexamined the SUNSET final rule in light of the allegations in" this case, among other

things. *Id.* at 59,908. The comment period closed on December 28, 2021, and HHS received approximately 80 comments. If the withdrawal rule were to issue as proposed, Plaintiffs' claims in this case may become moot.

- 5. Last week, HHS postponed the effective date of the SUNSET Rule for an additional six months, to September 22, 2022. *See* 87 Fed. Reg. 12,399. HHS does not concede liability, but it explained that the interests of justice warranted a further stay of the effective date through September 22 because "(1) A postponement will permit HHS to continue and complete its review of the SUNSET final rule in light of the claims raised in the litigation; (2) the resolution of the rulemaking will inform the government's position in this lawsuit; and (3) based on HHS's review of the Complaint, HHS believes that the Court may find that: (a) Some of Plaintiffs' claims have merit; (b) Plaintiffs' allegations of harm are credible; and (c) the balance of equities and the public interest warrant postponement of the effective date to preserve the status quo while the Court considers the challenge to the SUNSET final rule." *Id.* at 12,399. HHS's present expectation is that it will complete any rulemaking prior to the new effective date, and that further postponements will not issue.
- 6. The parties therefore jointly request that the Court continue the stay of this action through June 7, 2022, and direct the parties to file a joint status report proposing a schedule for further proceedings by that date.

Date: March 7, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN M. BOYNTON
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

ERIC BECKENHAUER
Assistant Branch Director

Civil Division

/s/ Steven A. Myers
STEVEN A. MYERS (NY Bar # 4823043)
Senior Trial Counsel

United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L St. NW

Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 305-8648 Fax: (202) 616-8470

E-mail: steven.a.myers@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING STAY CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF

1 2 /s/ Jeffrey B. Dubner Jeffrey B. Dubner* (DC Bar No. 1013399) 3 Samara Spence* (DC Bar No. 1031191) Sean A. Lev* (DC Bar. No. 449936) 4 **Democracy Forward Foundation** P.O. Box 34553 5 Washington, DC 20043 6 sspence@democracyforward.org idubner@democracyforward.org 7 slev@democracyforward.org Telephone: (202) 448-9090 8 9 Counsel for All Plaintiffs James R. Williams (CA Bar No. 271253) 10 County Counsel 11 Greta S. Hansen (CA Bar No. 251471) Douglas M. Press (CA Bar No. 168740) 12 Laura S. Trice (CA Bar No. 284837) Office of the County Counsel 13 County of Santa Clara 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Fl. 14 San José, CA 95110-1770 15 laura.trice@cco.sccgov.org Telephone: (408) 299-5900 16 Counsel for the County of Santa Clara 17 Lisa S. Mankofsky* (DC Bar No. 411931) 18 Matthew Simon* (DC Bar No. 144727) 19 Center for Science in the Public Interest 1250 I Street, NW, Ste. 902 20 Washington, DC 20005 lmankofsky@cspinet.org 21 msimon@cspinet.org Telephone: (202) 777-8381 22 23 Counsel for Center for Science in the Public Interest 24 Adeline S. Rolnick* 25 Natural Resources Defense Council 1152 15th Street NW, Ste. 300 26 Washington, DC 20005 27 arolnick@nrdc.org Telephone: (202) 513-6240 28

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING STAY CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF

Counsel for Natural Resources Defense Council * Admitted pro hac vice **LOCAL RULE 5-1(i) ATTESTATION** I attest that I have obtained Jeffrey Dubner's concurrence in the filing of this document. /s/ Steven A. Myers Steven A. Myers JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING STAY

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING STAY CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The case shall remain STAYED through	
3	June 7, 2022, by which date the parties shall submit a joint status report proposing a schedule for further	
4	proceedings.	
5		
6	Dated:	
7	HON. BETH LABSON FREEMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9		
0		
1		
12		
13		
4		
15		
6		
17		
18		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING STAY CASE NO. 5:21-CV-01655-BLF	